Lyapunov theorems for measure FDEs via Kurzweil-equations

Eduard Toon

eduard.toon@ufjf.edu.br

A joint work with Márcia Federson and Jaqueline G. Mesquita Supported by FAPESP

June - 2013

Definition of Generalized ODEs;

- Measure FDEs;
- Correspondence between the equations;

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

- Stability results for GODEs;
- Stability for Measure FDEs.

- Definition of Generalized ODEs;
- Measure FDEs;
- Correspondence between the equations;

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

- Stability results for GODEs;
- Stability for Measure FDEs.

- Definition of Generalized ODEs;
- Measure FDEs;
- Correspondence between the equations;

- Stability results for GODEs;
- Stability for Measure FDEs.

- Definition of Generalized ODEs;
- Measure FDEs;
- Correspondence between the equations;

- Stability results for GODEs;
- Stability for Measure FDEs.

- Definition of Generalized ODEs;
- Measure FDEs;
- Correspondence between the equations;

- Stability results for GODEs;
- Stability for Measure FDEs.

Generalized ODEs

• Let X be a Banach space, $\mathcal{O} \subset X$ an open subset, $\Omega = \mathcal{O} \times [t_0, +\infty)$ and $G : \Omega \to X$.

Definition

We say that $x : [\alpha, \beta] \subset [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow X$ is a solution of the generalized ODE

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DG(x, t), \tag{1}$$

in $[\alpha, \beta]$ if $(x(t), t) \in \Omega$ for every $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and

$$x(v) - x(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma}^{v} DG(x(\tau), t)$$

 $\forall \ \gamma, \ \mathbf{v} \in [\alpha, \beta].$

Measure FDEs

Measure FDEs (MFDEs) are equations of the following form

$$Dx = f(x_t, t)Dg, \qquad (2)$$

where x_t is given by $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta), \theta \in [-r, 0]$, with r > 0, $f : G([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \times [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$, with $t_0 \ge 0$, Dx and Dg are the distributional derivatives with respect to x and g, in the sense of distributions of L. Schwartz.

When g(t) = t, the equation (2) becomes a functional differential equation in the usual sense.

Integral form of a MFDE

The integral form equivalent to (2) is given by

$$x(t) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f(x_s, s) dg(s), \quad t \in [t_0, +\infty),$$

where we consider the Kurzweil-Stieljtes integral taken with respect to the nondecreasing function $g : [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

The integral $\int_a^b f(t)dg(t)$ is a particular case of the Kurzweil integral $\int_a^b DU(\tau, t)$, when $U : [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is given by $U(\tau, t) = f(\tau)g(t)$.

Relation between equations

We say that a set $O \subset BG([t_0 - r, +\infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$ has the prolongation property, if for every $y \in O$ and $\overline{t} \in [t_0 - r, +\infty)$, the function \overline{y} given by

$$ar{y}(t) = egin{cases} y(t), & t_0 - r \leq t \leq ar{t}, \ y(ar{t}), & ar{t} < t < \infty \end{cases}$$

also belongs to O. Let $S = \{y_t; y \in O, t \in [t_0, \infty)\}$.

We consider the following MFDE with perturbations

$$Dy = f(y_t, t) Dg + p(t) Du.$$
(3)

where the functions $g, u : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ are nondecreasing, $f : S \times [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $p : [t_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$. Its integral form is given by

$$y(t) = y(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f(y_s, s) dg(s) + \int_{t_0}^t p(s) du(s), \quad t \in [t_0, \infty),$$

where the integrals are considered in the Kurzweil-Stieltjes's sense. We consider the following hypotheses on the functions f and p:

We consider the following MFDE with perturbations

$$Dy = f(y_t, t) Dg + p(t) Du.$$
(3)

where the functions $g, u : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ are nondecreasing, $f : S \times [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $p : [t_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$. Its integral form is given by

$$y(t) = y(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f(y_s, s) dg(s) + \int_{t_0}^t p(s) du(s), \quad t \in [t_0, \infty),$$

where the integrals are considered in the Kurzweil-Stieltjes's sense. We consider the following hypotheses on the functions f and p:

(*H*₁) The Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral $\int_{t_0}^t f(y_s, s) dg(s)$ exists for every $y \in O$ and $t \in [t_0, \infty)$.

 (H_2) There exists a function $M : [t_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ locally Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrable with respect to g such that

$$\left|\int_{u}^{v} f(y_{s},s) dg(s)\right| \leq \int_{u}^{v} M(s) dg(s)$$

 $orall \; y \in O$ and $u,v \in [t_0,\infty)$

(H₃) There exists a function $L : [t_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ locally Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrable with respect to g such that

$$\left|\int_{u}^{v} [f(y_s,s)-f(z_s,s)]dg(s)\right| \leq \int_{u}^{v} L(s) \|y_s-z_s\|_{\infty} dg(s)$$

 $\forall y, z \in O \text{ and } u, v \in [t_0, \infty).$

- (*H*₁) The Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral $\int_{t_0}^t f(y_s, s) dg(s)$ exists for every $y \in O$ and $t \in [t_0, \infty)$.
- (H_2) There exists a function $M : [t_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ locally Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrable with respect to g such that

$$\left|\int_{u}^{v} f(y_{s},s) dg(s)\right| \leq \int_{u}^{v} M(s) dg(s)$$

 $\forall \ y \in O \text{ and } u, v \in [t_0, \infty)$

(H₃) There exists a function $L : [t_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ locally Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrable with respect to g such that

$$\left|\int_{u}^{v} [f(y_s,s) - f(z_s,s)] dg(s)\right| \leq \int_{u}^{v} L(s) \|y_s - z_s\|_{\infty} dg(s)$$

 $\forall y, z \in O \text{ and } u, v \in [t_0, \infty).$

- (*H*₁) The Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral $\int_{t_0}^t f(y_s, s) dg(s)$ exists for every $y \in O$ and $t \in [t_0, \infty)$.
- (H_2) There exists a function $M : [t_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ locally Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrable with respect to g such that

$$\left|\int_{u}^{v} f(y_{s},s) dg(s)\right| \leq \int_{u}^{v} M(s) dg(s)$$

$$\forall y \in O \text{ and } u, v \in [t_0, \infty)$$

(H₃) There exists a function $L : [t_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ locally Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrable with respect to g such that

$$\left|\int_{u}^{v} [f(y_{s},s)-f(z_{s},s)]dg(s)\right| \leq \int_{u}^{v} L(s) \|y_{s}-z_{s}\|_{\infty} dg(s)$$

 $\forall y, z \in O \text{ and } u, v \in [t_0, \infty).$

(*H*₄) The Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral $\int_{t_0}^t p(s) du(s)$ exists for every $t \in [t_0, \infty)$;

(H₅) There exists a function $K : [t_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ locally Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrable with respect to u such that, for every $w, v \in [t_0, \infty)$, we have

$$\left|\int_{w}^{v} p(s) du(s)\right| \leq \int_{w}^{v} K(s) du(s).$$

- (*H*₄) The Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral $\int_{t_0}^t p(s) du(s)$ exists for every $t \in [t_0, \infty)$;
- (H₅) There exists a function $K : [t_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ locally Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrable with respect to u such that, for every $w, v \in [t_0, \infty)$, we have

$$\left|\int_w^v p(s)du(s)\right| \leq \int_w^v K(s)du(s).$$

For $y \in O$ and $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$, we define

$$F(y,t)(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} 0, & t_0 - r \le \vartheta \le t_0, \\ \int_{t_0}^{\vartheta} f(y_s,s) dg(s), & t_0 \le \vartheta \le t < +\infty, \\ \int_{t_0}^{t} f(y_s,s) dg(s), & t \le \vartheta < +\infty \end{cases}$$

and

$$P(t)(\vartheta) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 0, & t_0 - r \leq artheta \leq t_0, \ \int_{t_0}^{artheta} p(s) du(s), & t_0 \leq artheta \leq t < +\infty, \ \int_{t_0}^t p(s) du(s), & t \leq artheta < +\infty. \end{array}
ight.$$

Then,

$$G(y,t) = F(y,t) + P(t)$$
(4)

defines an element G(y, t) from $BG^{-}([t_0 - r, +\infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $G(y, t)(\vartheta) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the value of G(y, t) at the point $\vartheta \in [t_0 - r, +\infty)$, which means,

$$G: O \times [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow BG^-([t_0 - r, +\infty), \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Consider the following GODE

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DG(x, t), \tag{5}$$

where the function G is given by (4).

Theorem (Correspondence between the equations) Let $O \subset G([t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma], \mathbb{R}^n)$ with the prolongation property, $S = \{x_t; x \in O, t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]\}$ and $\phi \in S$. Suppose that $g : [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $u : [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are nondecreasing functions, $f : S \times [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies conditions (H_1) , (H_2) , (H_3) and $p : [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies conditions (H_4) and (H_5) .

(i) Let $y : [t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a solution of the measure functional differential equation with perturbations

$$\begin{cases} Dy = f(y_t, t)Dg + p(t)Du, & t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma], \\ y_{t_0} = \phi. \end{cases}$$
(6)

For every $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma]$, let

$$x(t)(artheta) = egin{cases} y(artheta), & artheta \in [t_0-r,t], \ y(t), & artheta \in [t,t_0+\sigma]. \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Correspondence between the equations) Then the function $x : [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow G([t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma], \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a solution of the GODE (5) with

$$x(t_0)(\vartheta) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \phi(artheta-t_0), \ t_0-r \leq artheta \leq t_0, \ \phi(0), \ t_0 \leq artheta < t_0+\sigma. \end{array}
ight.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem (Correspondence between the equations) (ii) Reciprocally, let G be given by (4). Suppose that $x : [t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow O$ is a solution of the GODE

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau}=DG\left(x,t\right) ,$$

with the following initial condition

$$egin{aligned} & x(t_0)(artheta) = \left\{ egin{aligned} & \phi(artheta-t_0), \ t_0-r \leq artheta \leq t_0, \ & \phi(0), \ t_0 \leq artheta < t_0+\sigma. \end{aligned}
ight. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Correspondence between the equations) Then the function $y : [t_0 - r, t_0 + \sigma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$y(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} x(t_0)(\vartheta), \ t_0 - r \le \vartheta \le t_0 \\ x(\vartheta)(\vartheta), \ t_0 \le \vartheta < t_0 + \sigma \end{cases}$$

is a solution of the measure functional differential equation with perturbations

$$\begin{cases} Dy = f(y_t, t)Dg + p(t)Du, & t \in [t_0, t_0 + \sigma], \\ y_{t_0} = \phi. \end{cases}$$
(7)

Lyapunov stability for GODEs

Let X be a Banach space and $B_c = \{x \in X; ||x|| < c\}, c > 0$. Define $\Omega = B_c \times [t_0, \infty)$ and let $F : \Omega \to X$. Consider the GODE

$$\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DF(x(\tau), t)$$
(8)

where we suppose that F(0, t) - F(0, s) = 0 for $t, s \ge t_0$. Then, $\forall [\gamma, v] \subset [t_0, +\infty)$,

$$\int_{\gamma}^{v} DF(0,t) = F(0,v) - F(0,\gamma) = 0$$

and, therefore, $x \equiv 0$ is a solution of (8) in $[t_0, +\infty)$.

The trivial solution $x \equiv 0$ of (8) is (i) Regularly stable, if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $\overline{x} : [\gamma, \nu] \to B_c$, with $t_0 \le \gamma < \nu < +\infty$, is a regulated function which satisfies

$$\|\overline{x}(\gamma)\| < \delta \text{ and } \sup_{s \in [\gamma, \nu]} \left\|\overline{x}(s) - \overline{x}(\gamma) - \int_{\gamma}^{s} DF(\overline{x}(\tau), t) \right\| < \delta,$$

then

$$\|\overline{x}(t)\| < \varepsilon, \quad t \in [\gamma, v].$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(ii) Regularly attracting, if $\exists \delta_0 > 0$ and $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists T = T(\varepsilon) \ge 0$ and $\rho = \rho(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $\overline{x} : [\gamma, v] \to B_c$, with $t_0 \le \gamma < v < +\infty$, is a regulated function satisfying

$$\|\overline{x}(\gamma)\| < \delta_0 \text{ and } \sup_{s \in [\gamma, \nu]} \left\|\overline{x}(s) - \overline{x}(\gamma) - \int_{\gamma}^{s} DF(\overline{x}(\tau), t) \right\| <
ho,$$

then

$$\|\overline{x}(t)\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } t \in [\gamma, v] \cap [\gamma + T, +\infty) \text{ and } \gamma \geq t_0.$$

(iii) Regularly asymptotically stable, if it is regularly stable and regularly attracting.

Definition

We say that $V : [t_0, +\infty) \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Lyapunov functional (with respect to the GODE (8)), if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i)
$$V(\cdot, x) : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$$
 is left-continuous in $(t_0, +\infty)$, $\forall x \in X$;

(ii) ∃ a function b : ℝ⁺ → ℝ⁺, continuous and strictly increasing, satisfying b(0) = 0 (we say that such function is of Hahn class), such that

$$V(t,x) \geq b(||x||),$$

 $\forall t \in [t_0, +\infty) \text{ and } x \in X;$

(iii) $\forall \ \bar{x} : [\gamma, \nu] \to X$ solution of (8), with $[\gamma, \nu] \subset [t_0, +\infty)$, we have

$$\dot{V}(t,x(t)) = \limsup_{\eta o 0^+} rac{V(t+\eta,ar{x}(t+\eta)) - V(t,ar{x}(t))}{\eta} \leq 0,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

 $t \in [\gamma, v].$

Theorem

Let $V : [t_0, +\infty) \times \overline{B_{\rho}} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Lyapunov functional, where $\overline{B_{\rho}} = \{y \in X : ||y|| \le \rho\}, 0 < \rho < c$. Suppose that V satisfies the following conditions:

(i)
$$V(t,0) = 0$$
, $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$;
(ii) There exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$|V(t,z)-V(t,y)| \leq K ||z-y||, \quad t \in [t_0,+\infty), \ z,y \in \overline{B_{\rho}}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Then the trivial solution $x \equiv 0$ of (8) is regularly stable.

Theorem

Let $V : [t_0, +\infty) \times \overline{B_{\rho}} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Lyapunov functional, where $\overline{B_{\rho}} = \{y \in X : ||y|| \le \rho\}, \ 0 < \rho < c$. Suppose V satisfies the conditions (*i*) and (*ii*) from the previous Theorem. Moreover, suppose there exists a continuous function $\Phi : X \to \mathbb{R}$, satisfying $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi(x) > 0$ for $x \ne 0$, such that for every solution $x : [\gamma, v] \to B_{\rho}$ of (8), with $[\gamma, v] \subset [t_0, +\infty)$, we have

$$V(t, x(t)) \leq -\Phi(x(t)), \quad t \in [\gamma, v].$$
(9)

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Then the trivial solution $x \equiv 0$ of (8) is regularly asymptotically stable.

Lyapunov stability for measure FDEs

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Consider the measure FDE

$$Dy = f(y_t, t)Dg, (10)$$

with $f : S \times [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$, where $S = \{x_t; x \in O, t \in [t_0, +\infty)\}$ e $O \subset BG([t_0 - r, +\infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$ has the prolongation property.

We also consider $g : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ nondecreasing and f(0, t) = 0 for every $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$ and f satisfies conditions (H_1) - (H_3) . Thus $y \equiv 0$ is a solution of (10).

Definition

The trivial solution $y \equiv 0$ of (10) is

(i) Stable in Lyapunov's sense, if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ and every $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma \ge t_0, \exists \delta = \delta(\varepsilon, \gamma) > 0$ such that, if $\phi \in S$ and $\overline{y} : [\gamma, v] \to \mathbb{R}^n$, with $[\gamma, v] \subset [t_0, +\infty)$, is a solution of (10) such that $\overline{y}_{\gamma} = \phi$ and

$$\|\phi\|_{\infty} < \delta,$$

then

$$\|\overline{y}_t(\gamma,\phi)\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon, \quad t \in [\gamma,\nu].$$

(ii) Uniformly stable, if the number δ in the previous item is independent from γ .

Definition (iii) Uniformly asymptotically stable, if $\exists \delta_0 > 0$ and $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists T = T(\varepsilon) \ge 0$ such that, if $\phi \in S$, and $\overline{y} : [\gamma, v] \to \mathbb{R}^n$, with $[\gamma, v] \subset [t_0, +\infty)$, is a solution of (10) such that $\overline{y}_{\gamma} = \phi$ and

$$\|\phi\|_{\infty} < \delta_0,$$

then

$$\|\overline{y}_t(\gamma,\phi)\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon, \quad t \in [\gamma,\nu] \cap [\gamma+T,+\infty).$$

Definition

We say that $U: [t_0, +\infty) \times G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Lyapunov functional (with respect to the measure FDE (10)), if the following conditions hold:

- (i) $U(\cdot, \psi) : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is left-continuous in $(t_0, +\infty)$, for every $\psi \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (ii) There exists a function of Hahn class $b:\mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

 $U(t,\psi) \geq b(\|\psi\|),$

for every $t \ge t_0$ and $\psi \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$; (iii) The inequality

 $D^+U(t,\psi) \leq 0$

holds for each $t \ge t_0$ and $\psi \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $t \ge t_0$ and $\psi \in G^-([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$. We denote by $y(t, \psi)$ the solution of MFDE (f) with initial condition $y_t = \psi$ and x_{ψ} the solution of the GODE $\frac{dx}{d\tau} = DG(x, t)$ with initial condition $x_{\psi}(t) = \tilde{x}$, where $\tilde{x}(\tau) = \psi(\tau - t)$, $t - r \le \tau \le t$, and $\tilde{x}(\tau) = \psi(0)$, $\tau \ge t$. Then

• $(t, x_{\psi}(t)) \mapsto (t, y_t(t, \psi))$ is a one-to-one application.

• We define $V:[t_0,+\infty) imes O o \mathbb{R}$ by

$$V(t, x_{\psi}(t)) = U(t, y_t(t, \psi)).$$

Then the righthand derivative $D^+U(t,\psi)$ can be written

$$D^+U(t,\psi)=\lim_{\eta
ightarrow 0^+}\suprac{V(t+\eta,x_\psi(t+\eta))-V(t,x_\psi(t))}{\eta},\quad t\geq t_0.$$

We obtain the following theorems for MFDEs:

Theorem

Consider the measure functional differential equation (10). Suppose the function $f : S \times [t_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the conditions $(H_1), (H_2)$ and (H_3) and $U : [t_0, +\infty) \times \overline{E}_{\rho} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Lyapunov functional. Moreover, assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $U(t,0) = 0, t \in [t_0, +\infty);$

(ii) There exists a constant K > 0 such that

 $|U(t,\psi)-U(t,\overline{\psi})|\leq K\|\psi-\overline{\psi}\|,\quad t\in[t_0,+\infty),\,\,\psi,\overline{\psi}\in\overline{E}_
ho.$

Then the trivial solution $y \equiv 0$ of (10) is uniformly stable.

Idea of the proof Define

• The solution $y \equiv 0$ of (10) is said to be *integrally stable*, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $\psi \in S$ with $\|\psi\|_{\infty} < \delta$ and

$$\sup_{t\in[\gamma,\nu]}\left|\int_{\gamma}^{t}p(s)\mathrm{d}u(s)\right|<\delta,$$

where $t_0 \leq \gamma \leq \nu < \infty$, then

$$\|ar{y}_t(\gamma,\psi)\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon, ext{ for every } t \in [\gamma,v],$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where $\bar{y}(t; \gamma, \psi)$ is a solution of the MFDE with perturbations satisfying $\bar{y}_{\gamma} = \psi$.

Idea of the proof

The solution y ≡ 0 of (10) is called *integrally attracting*, if there is a δ̃ > 0 and for every ε > 0, there exist a T = T(ε) ≥ 0 and a ρ = ρ(ε) > 0 such that if

$$\|\psi\|_{\infty} < \widetilde{\delta}$$
 and $\sup_{t \in [\gamma, \nu]} \left| \int_{\gamma}^{t} p(s) \mathrm{d}u(s) \right| <
ho,$

where $t_0 \leq \gamma \leq v < \infty$, then

$$\|ar{y}_t(\gamma,\psi)\|_\infty < arepsilon ext{ for all } t \geq \gamma + T, \ t \in [\gamma,
u],$$

where $\bar{y}(t; \gamma, \psi)$ is a solution of the MFDE with perturbations satisfying $\bar{y}_{\gamma} = \psi$.

• The solution $y \equiv 0$ of (10) is called *integrally asymptotically stable*, if it is integrally stable and integrally attracting.

Idea of the proof

Since we have $U(t, y_t(t, \psi)) = V(t, x_{\psi}(t))$, one can show that the trivial solution of the GODE related to the MFDE is regularly stable.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Theorem

Regular stability \Rightarrow Integral stability

Idea of the proof

Since we have $U(t, y_t(t, \psi)) = V(t, x_{\psi}(t))$, one can show that the trivial solution of the GODE related to the MFDE is regularly stable.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem

Regular stability \Rightarrow Integral stability

Theorem

Consider the measure functional differential equation (10). Suppose $U : [t_0, +\infty) \times \overline{E_{\rho}} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Lyapunov functional and satisfies conditions (*i*) and (*ii*) from the previous Theorem. Furthermore, suppose there exists a continuous function $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\Lambda(0) = 0$ and $\Lambda(x) > 0$ if $x \neq 0$, such that, for every $\psi \in \overline{E}_{\rho}$, we have

$$D^+U(t,\psi) \leq -\Lambda(\|\psi\|), \quad t \geq t_0.$$
(11)

Then, the trivial solution $y \equiv 0$ of (10) is uniformly asymptoticaly stable.

References

AFONSO, S. M.; BONOTTO, E. M.; FEDERSON, M.; GIMENES, L. P.

Stability of functional differential equations with variable impulsive perturbations via generalized ordinary differential equations.

Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques (Paris, 1885) v. 137 (2013), 189-214.



FEDERSON, M.; MESQUITA, J. G.; TOON, E

Stability results for measure functional differential equations via Kurzweil-equations.



FEDERSON, M.; SCHWABIK, Š.

Generalized ODEs approach to impulsive retarded differential equations. *Differential and Integral Equations*, 19(11), (2006), 1201-1234.



KURZWEIL, J.

Generalized ordinary differential equations and continuous dependence on a parameter.

Czechoslovak Math. J. 7(82) (1957), 418-448.



SCHWABIK, Š.

Generalized Ordinary Differential Equations. World Scientific, Series in Real Anal., vol. 5, 1992.